# OFFICIAL FILE ULINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

STATE OF ILLINOIS
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

Petition to Adopt Proposed Decommissioning Expense Adjustment Pursuant To Rider 31, Decommissioning Expense Adjustment Clause. No. 00-0191 103 AN 1

#### <u>PETITION</u>

Commonwealth Edison Company ("ComEd"), by its attorneys, petitions the Illinois Commerce Commission (the "Commission") to adopt a Rider 31 Decommissioning Expense Adjustment ("Rider 31") charge in the amount of 0.144 cents per kilowatt-hour ("kWh") as derived and set forth in Attachment A to this Petition. The proposed amount is the same as that sought by ComEd in the 1999 Rider 31 decommissioning proceeding which has been briefed and is awaiting issuance of a Hearing Examiner's Proposed Order and deliberation by the Commission in Docket 99-0115. In support of its Petition, ComEd states as follows.

- 1. On November 2, 1998, ComEd filed a revised Rider 31 Decommissioning Expense Adjustment Clause ("Rider 31") with the Commission in accordance with the requirements of 220 ILCS 5/16-114. In compliance with Section 16-114, the revised Rider 31 removed decommissioning costs from ComEd's base rates and included those costs in a new tariff applicable to each category of service specified in Section 16-114. The new Rider 31, which became effective on January 1, 1999, continues to require ComEd to make a tiling with the Commission on or before February 28 of each year computing a decommissioning expense adjustment to be applied to bills of customers for the Current Year, as defined in Rider 3 1.
- **2.** On February 26, 1999, ComEd filed with the Commission a petition submitted pursuant to the terms of **ComEd's** revised Rider 31 computing the required

decommissioning expense adjustment. The values used in each variable were set forth in the testimony of Robert E. Berdelle submitted with the petition. Source data and the basis for all values in the Rider were provided in the testimony of Mr. Berdelle, in the testimony of ComEd's vice president Michael D. Lyster, and in the testimony of two expert witnesses, Thomas S. LaGuardia, President of TLG Services, Inc. and Jay K. Thayer, Vice President, Decommissioning, Duke Engineering & Services, Inc.

- 3. ComEd's February 26, 1999 petition was assigned Docket No. 99-0115 and proceedings commenced with a pre-hearing conference on March 25, 1999. Subsequent status hearings were held on October 14, 1999 and October 20, 1999. Evidentiary hearings were held on December 6 through 9, 1999. ComEd, the Staff of the Commission, the Citizens Utility Board, the People of Cook County, and the City of Chicago appeared and were represented by counsel at these hearings. Initial briefs were submitted on January 24, 2000 and reply briefs were submitted on February 14, 2000. The matter has been taken under advisement by the Hearing Examiner.
- 4. **ComEd's** existing decommissioning Rider 31 requires the Company to make a decommissioning filing with the Commission on or before February 28 of each year. As its filing for February, 2000, ComEd therefore petitions that the Commission approve the same decommissioning charges pursuant to Rider 31 which ComEd seeks in Docket No. 99-O 115.
- 5. In its petition in Docket No. 99-0115, ComEd originally requested approval of an adjustment to Rider 31 to provide for collection of 0.155 cents per kWh pursuant to the Rider. During the proceedings in Docket No. 99-O 115, ComEd revised its computations to take into account agreements reached with the Staff of the Commission concerning the methodology for computing certain elements of the charges under the Rider. For example, ComEd and Staff agreed that the taxes

L65180-1

associated with unrealized gains on the value of securities held within the decommissioning trust funds should be reflected over a three year period rather than one year, as had been initially proposed by ComEd. As a result of inclusion of all such changes agreed to by ComEd and Staff, ComEd submitted with its Proposed Order in Docket No. 99-0115 a computation deriving Rider 31 charges in the amount of 0.144 cents per kWh. The computation is included as Attachment A to this Petition. It is this lesser amount of 0.144 cents per kWh that ComEd proposes be adopted for collection under Rider 31 in this 2000 filing.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons described in this petition, ComEd respectfully requests that the Commission adopt the Decommissioning Expense Adjustment charge set forth and derived in Attachment A to this Petition, in an amount of 0.144 cents per kWh.

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 25th day of February 2000.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorneys for

Commonwealth Edison Company

Paul F. Hanzlik Bryan S. Anderson Hopkins & Sutter 70 West Madison Street Suite 4 100 Chicago, IL 60602 Rebecca J. Lauer Deputy General Counsel Robert E. Helfrich Senior Counsel Commonwealth Edison Company 125 South Clark Street Chicago, IL 60603 STATE OF ILLINOIS )
SS.
COUNTY OF COOK )

#### **VERIFICATION**

I, Robert E. Berdelle, Vice President and Comptroller of Commonwealth Edison Company and a duly authorized agent for Commonwealth Edison Company, verify that I have read the foregoing Petition of Commonwealth Edison Company to Adopt Proposed Decommissioning Expense Adjustment Pursuant to Rider 31, Decommissioning Expense Adjustment Clause, that I am familiar with the facts contained therein, and that the same are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Robert E. Berdelle

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this <u>25</u> day of February, 2000.

My commission expires

OFFICIAL SEAL
KRYSTYNA BAUMAC
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/16/01

## ComEd DECOMMISSIONING EXPENSE ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE - RIDER 31

Computed Values February 1999

Doce - 1 E.C. Adjusted September 1999

$$DE = \left[\frac{\sum AP(I) - RA * 100}{S}\right]$$

ZAP(I). Total Junisdictional Cost of Service

- = COS \* J
- = \$121.2972M

J - ICC Jurisdictional %

**=** 99.1%

cos • Con of Service = SF • [(DCE•E) • (TFB • IR) - PAC]

= \$120,9333M

fee anached table for values of the below terms

DCE. Decommissioning Cost Estimate
E-Escalation Factor
TFB • Total Fund Balance
IR • Investment Rate of Return
PAC. Amortization of Prior Collections

RA\*100 - Under or over collections during previous period = \$0.2355M (under collection)

S-Totalprojectedsales10 customers subject to the rider
84.070,000,000 KWH

 $\Sigma AP(I)/S = 0.1438 \epsilon/KWH$ 

RA\*100/S = 0.0003¢/KWH

 $DE = \sum AP(I)/S + (RA^{100})/S \cdot BDC = 0.1438 + 0.0003$ 

-

= 0.144¢/KWH

A.C. DOCKET NO. 11-011

Witness

Date 12/1/99

Reporte C

# Comed DECOMMISSIONING EXPENSE ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE - RIDER 31 Rider Components

#### Adjusted September 1999

Unit	Year Aftel License Expires	<b>Stra</b> d	SF ing Fund ectors Non T-Qual	DCE Decommissioning Cast Estimate (BOY 1996 \$)	DCE*E Future Decommissioning Cost Estimate	TFB Fund Balances Tax Plus Non-Tax Qualified	PAC Amortization of Prior Collections	COS Cost of Service Tan + Non-Tay Qualified	Scheduled Collections 1998	Actual Collections 1998	RA Automatic Reconciliation
Dresden 1	2012	205	100	302,432,000	671,667,935	86,611,778	837,997	22,636,280			
Dresilen Z	2007	163	10 2	375,266,000	605,890,615	252,902,102	1,233,522	15,176,239			
Dresden 3	2012	205	19.9	427,076,000	862,194,341	231,905,528	643,790	13,839,276			
Quad Cities \$	2012	20 5	199	253,700,000	509,630,191	173,041,456	604,766	3,874,109			
Qued Cities 2	2013	230	22 3	309,673,000	654,305,125	171,392,352	582,978	8,431,514			
Zion 1	2014	25.7	74 B	379 111 000	661,551,531	198,055,785	<b>6</b> 62,493	11,992,971			
Zion 2	2014	25.7	74 9	467,249,000	1,079,958,031	197,253,321	626,697	19,965,145			
LaSalle 1	2023	41.4	56 6	396,374,000	1,333,502,103	200,647,460	250,793	3,824,091			
LaSalle 2	2024	<b>h</b> a e	817	470 601 000	1 632 009 002	194,442,172	173,146	7,059,188			
Byran 1	2025	720	00 9	270,793,000	991,173,630	152,997,119	2,488	600,952			
Byron 2	2027	ie s	70 5	388,536,000	1,546,654,395	137,233,006	92,042	8,186,930		•	
Braidwood 1	2027	bes	765	271,871,000	1,092,659,499	138,542,031	14,893	1,159,376			
Braidwood 2	2020	994	949	417,249,000	1,737,533,817	134,237,165	0	7,141,267			
Escalation Rate Filing Year TO Investment R NO Investment R	ate of Return	\$ 4,741 199 1,491 8,631	9 4	4,750,939,000	13,579,010,221	2,267,341,356	5,933,605	121,297,182	87,663,657	<b>6</b> 7,420,112	235,545

#### ComEd Decommissioning Funding - 1999 Rider 31

#### Assuming Funding Through License Expiration

		cost or <b>Service</b>	Amortization Of  Phor Collections	Total Contidution		
	Tax-Qualified (\$000s)	Nontax-Qualified (\$000s)	<u>Total</u> (\$000s)	Nontax-Qualified (\$000s)	Amount (\$000s)	
		-	, ,	·	(40000)	
Dresden 1*	2,455.5	19,582.8	22,038.3	838.0	22. 576. 3	
Dresden 2	7,187.8	7,988 4	15,176.2	1233. 5	16,409.8	
Dresden 3	6,533.4	7,305.9	13,639.2	643. 6	14,683.0	
Qued Cibes 1	1,699.7	2,174.4	3,874.1	6DA. 8	4,478.9	
Quad Cibes 2	4,726.3	3,705.2	8,431.5	583.0	9,014.5	
Zion 1	7,691.4	4. 301. 6	11,993.0	662. 5	12. 655. 5	
Zion 2	13,072.5	6,892.7	19,965.1	626. 9	20,592.0	
a\$alie1	3,596.5	227. 6	3,824.1	258. 8	4,082.9	
<b>.258</b> 11e 2	7. 059. 2	0.0	7,059.2	173.1	7332. 3	
<b>י</b> עדס זע 1	606 9	0. 0	608. 9	2. 5	611. 3	
yron 2	6,111.7	75. 3	6,186.9	02.0	6,279.0	
Braidwood 1	1,142.2	17. 2	1,159 4	14.9	1,174.3	
Braidwood 2	7. 1413	00	7,141.3	0. 0	7,141.3	
Totals	69,026.1	\$2,271.1	121,297.2	5,933.6	127,231.0	

<sup>\*</sup> Assumes annual funding through 2011.

#### ComEd Decommissioning Funding - 1999 Rider 31

#### Assumptions

1. Decommissioning estimates are based on projections prepared by the TLG servicer, Inc. consulting firm. The ● rtirmtes include contingencycosts, SAFSTOR costs (for Dresden Unit 1 and Zion Units 1 & 2) and costs for non-radiological material removal. The estimates, expressed in 1999 and future dollars (\$ of year following each station's end of funding period). Are listed below.

<u>Unit</u>	Estimate (\$ Millions)  119519 \$)	Estimate (\$ Millions) (Future \$)
Dresden 1	3 4 5 . 4	611.9
Dresden 2	428.6	605.9
Dresden 3	488.7	862.2
Quad Cities 1	289.6*	509.6.
Quad Cities 2	353.9′	654.3′
Zion 1	433.0	851.6
Zion 2	5 5 6 . 5	1,080.0
LaSalle $1$	452.1	1,333.5
LaSalle 2	5 3 1 . 5	1,632.1
Byron 1	309.3	991.2
Byron 2	4 4 3 . 1	1,546.7
Braidwood $1$	310.5	1,092.7
Braidwood 2	416.5	1.131.5

ComEd Postion (75%)

2 Expenditures from the decommissioning trusts generally are assumed to be expended the year following the date specified in the TLG studier. Preparatory costs normally begin on or before the retirement year of the unit's operation. Decommissioning operation costs generally are assumed to begin shortly after license expiration. The retirement year (end of the funding period) is based on each unit's NRC license expiration. For Dresden 1, it is assumed that funding will extend through 2011, the NRC license expiration year for Dresden 3.

- 3. The decommissioning corer (1999 \$) are calrted at an annual rate of 4.74: from 1999 until the year that the costs are incurred in order to project the 1999 estimated costs to the future.
- 4. It is assumed that the non-tax-qualified fund would normally be fully expended before withdrawing from the tax-qualified fund to meet decommissioning obligations.

# ComEd Decommissioning Funding - 1999 Rider 31 Assumptions

5. Non-tax-qualified contributions are deposited on Or before December 31 each year while tax-qualified contributions • e deposited no later than March 15 of the following year. The final year of funding as well as the expected years of decommissioning are listed below.

	Final Year of Funding	Expected Decommissioning Years*		
Dresden 1	2011	2011 thru 2011		
Dresden 2	2006	2007 <b>thru</b> 2010		
Dresden 3	2011	2012 thru 2017		
Quad Cities 1	2011	2013 thru 2016		
Quad Cities 2	2012	2013 thru 2018		
Zion 1	2013	2014 thru 2017		
Zion 2	2013	2015 thru 2020		
LaSalle 1	2022	2023 thru <b>2026</b>		
LaSalle 2	2023	2025 thru 2029		
Byron 1	2024	2026 thru 2029		
Byron 2	2026	2029 thru 2032		
Braidwood 1	2026	2028 thru 2031		
Braidwood 2	2027	2029 thru 2034		

- $\bullet$  i'he years in which decommissioning of each unit is estimated to be substantially complete.
- 6. The projected returns, asset allocations, tax-fates and calculated arminer-rates for the fax-qualified and non-tax-qualified funds, for the collection periods, are provided below.

portion portion	<b>S&amp;P</b> 500	U.S. <b>Govt.</b> Bonds	Mortgage Backed Securities	•	Tax-Exempt Bonds	Total
Ncn-Tax-Qualified Tax Rate	351	359	35%	351	N/A	
After-Tax Return	8.0ŧ	4.6;	5.35	5.15	5.7;	
Allocation	581	04	0÷	06	42%	
Overall After-Tax After Fees Earning	<b>s</b> Rate	:				6.831
Tax-Qualified Tax Rate	201	201	20 <del>1</del>	.20i	X/A	
After-Tax Return	8.65	5.74	6.51	6.25	5.76	
Allocation	60ŧ	201	105	10:	Oŧ	
Overall After-Tax After Fees Earning		<b>:</b>				7.494

N/A = Not Applicable

7. The projected returns, asset allocations, tax-rates and calculated earnings-rates for the tax-qualified and non-tax-qualified funds, during the dismantlement periods, are provided below.

Long Term Annual Returns	Sep 500	Taxable Bonds	Cash	Non-Taxable Bonds
Inflation	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3
Premium Over Inflation	<u>6.5</u>	2.2	1.0	1.2
Nominal Return	11.0	7.1	5.5	5 . 1

#### Average asset allocation during dismantlement period

Equities 20% Bonds 35% Cash 45%

Tax-Qualified tax rate 20%

Non-Qualified tax rate 355

### Decommissioning Trust estimated returns during dismantlement period

#### Before-Tax Returns

Qualified Trust 7.45
Non-Qualified Trust 6.76
After-Tax Returns
Qualified Trust 5.95
Non-Qualified Trust 5.25